Reproduction

Poster Presentations / Présentation des affiches 64^{th} Annual Meeting / 64^e Assemblée annuelle



The Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons of Canada in collaboration with The Canadian Society for Clinical Investigation and participating societies

Le Collège royal des médecins et chirurgiens du Canada

en collaboration avec La Société canadienne de recherches cliniques et les associations participantes

September 13-17 septembre 1995 Montréal

Note: This document may not be reproduced without the express permission of The Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons of Canada and the authors.

Neither the Royal College nor the authors endorse the products of any pharmaceutical manufacturer. \S \S

Attention: Ce document ne peut être reproduit sans la permission expresse du Collège royal des médecins et chirurgiens du Canada et des auteurs.

Aucun produit de quelque manufacturier de produits pharmaceutique que ce soit n'est favorrise par le Collège royal ou par les auteurs.

ss ss Reproduction program managed by:
Programme de reproduction drugé par:
Medical Poster Reproductions, Inc.
594 Middle Road • Bayport, NY 11705
[516] 472-2020



Comparison of ICU Antibiotic Use and Costs In Pneumonia Admissions in Two Urban Centres

D. Bell, A. Spanier, B. Verhoff, N. Honcharik, T. Ostryzniuk, M.J. Hoppensack, D.E. Roberts, McGill University, Montréal, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg

Abstract

We compared the ICU use of 19 antibacterial agents in different cities over an 11 month period. Hospital A (HospA) has a 12 bed combined ICU and Hospital B (HospB) has separate 10 bed medical and surgical units. HospB has a dedicated satelfite pharmacy with pharmacists rounding daily. Both hospitals use computerized databases to collect pharmaceutical administration data on a per admission basis. HospA had complete pharmacy records on 901 admissions (mean APACHE 16.0 ± 6.4; 3103.3 ICU days) while HospB had complete records for 951 admissions (19.1 ± 8.2; 4038.5 days). A common cost list was used (average of reported costs at each hospital).

	Cost (\$) ;	er ICU day	% Admiesio	ns recely
	HospA	HospB	HospA	HospE
Imipenem	\$9.15	\$2.65	8%	3%
3rd Gen Ceph'epor	n \$9.33	\$5.06	17%	11%
Celurazime	\$0.89	\$3.25	5%	20%
Clindamycin	\$1.92	\$1.89	6%	6%
Cefazolin	\$1.16	\$0.82	39%	25%
ALL ANTIDIOTICS	£10.00	622 EE		

Antibiotic costs were substantially less at HospB despite higher average APACHE II scores at this hospital. Much of the increased cost at HospA was due to imigenem and third generation cephalosporin use. This data suggests that antiblotic usage and costs differ between these two hospitals. It is possible that the organizational structure of HospB might account for some of the cost differences.

PURPOSE

To compare the use and costs of intravenous antibiot administration in the Intensive care units of two Canadian hospitals in different cities from March 1, 1994-Feb 1, 1995.

DATA COLLECTION

Demographic, length of stay, APACHE II score, TISS score, and diagnostic information were prospectively collected and entered in computarized ICU management disabases at both hospitals. (Critical Care Manager, TMS Inc, Chelmsford, Ontario) Double of the medications administered to each patient, including total dose given, and cost of each medication.

HOSPITAL DESCRIPTIONS

HOSPITAL A •12 bed combined medical/ *12 bed combined medi surgical ICU. *No daily pharmacist involvement on rounds *No restrictive policies regarding ordering of antibiotics.

HOSPITAL B
- Separate 10 bed medical and
10 bed surgical ICU's,
- Satellite pharmacy located in
proximity to ICU.
Deally involvement by
pharmacist on rounds.

METHODS

•Pharmacy lists used at each hospital were compared and intravenous antibacterial antibiotics examined. All agents in intravenous antibacterial antibiotics use at both hospitals were included.

*The use of these agents was compared by noting the total amount (in milligrams or grams) administered to all admissions during the study period, and the total number of admissions receiving any amount of these medications.

•Average unit dose cost was calculated as follows:

Average unit dose coet(\$)= Cort @Hospital A+ Cost @ Hospital B

(Agents mod at a single leophtal were subgreed the unit over word at that heapital.)

•Total costs = Average cost per dose x total dose •All costs were normalized to an ICU day by dividing Total cost by length of ICU admission for all admissions.

•The following groups were compared:

- All ICU admissions
 Diagnosis of pneumonia
 Diagnosis of septic shock.
 Trauma admissions.

•All data with ranges are mean±SD, all costs in 1995 Canadian

Primary Admission Diagnoses

		Hospital A		Hospital B			
1	Coronary Bypass Graft	Incidence 239	% Total 26.2	Incidence 170	% Total 14.1	% Grand To	
2	Cerdiac Arrest	45	4.9	88	7.3	6.3	
3	Congestive Heart Failure	34	3.7	68	5.7	4.8	
4	Pneumonia	32	3.5	62	5.2	4.5	
5	Creniatomy	56	6.2	22	1.8	3.7	
	Septic Shock	23	2.5	44	3.7	3.2	
7	Abdominal Aorlic Aneurysm Repair	24	2.6	43	3.6	3.2	
8	Upper Gi Bleed	25	2.7	23	1.9	2.3	
	Cardiogenic Shock	14	1.5	31	2.6	2.1	
10	Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease	19	2.1	17	1.4	1.7	
	Bowel Resection	19	2.1	15	1.3	1.6	
	Aortic Valve Repair or Replacement	7	0.8	28	2.2	1.6	
13	Post-op Respiratory Failure	7	0.8	22	1.8	1.4	
14	Subarachnold Hemonhage	4	0.4	19	1.6	1.1	
15	Head injury - other bleeds, non post-op	2	0.2	20	1.7	1.0	
	Total Percent		55.7		en 4	677	

DEMOGRAPHICS FOR SEPTIC SHOCK DIAGNOSIS

Hospital A	Hospital B	1 test result
30	87	
64.4±15.3	59.7±19.2	NS
28.3±9.1	24.948.8	NS
7.1±11.0	8.6±9.0	NS
37.5±10.3	40.2±9.9	NS
212	745	
	30 64.4±15.3 28.3±9.1 7.1±11.0 37.5±10.3	30 87 64.4±15.3 59.7±19.2 28.3±9.1 24.9±8.8 7.1±11.0 8.6±9.0 37.5±10.3 40.2±9.9

DEMOGRAPHICS FOR TRAUMA DIAGNOSIS

	Hospital A	Hospital S	t test remails
Adminism	29	166	
Mont age (years)	50.2+23.9	44.8±21.3	145
Mone APACHE score	11346.5	17.1±6.8	p-c0.001
Mann length of stay (days)	4,447.3	6.4aB.1	NS
Mean TISS score	27.0±11.7	32.6±11.0	p<0.05
Total ICU stay (days)	129	1051	

RESULTS

DEMOGRAPHICS FOR ALL ADMISSIONS

	Hospital A	Hospital B	t test result
otal admissions (number)	911	1203	
denn ugs (yenes)	64.0x16.1	58.2e18.4	p< 0.001
Sean APACHE score	16.0±8.4	19.3±8.2	p< 0.001
dean longth of stay (days)	3.544.9	4.3±7.1	NS
Menn TISS score	34.8±13.0	34.9±12.5	М
Complete planning date	906	(18)	
Fotal ICU stay (days)	3157.2	5090.2	

DEMOGRAPHICS FOR PNEUMONIA DIAGNOSIS

	Hospital A	Hospital B	t test result
Administrat	89	190	-
Mean age (years)	69.4±15.0	58.6±18.1	p<0.05
Manu APACHE score	21.8±8.0	22.2±7.4	NS
Mean length of stay (days)	8.5±7.6	9.4±10.8	NS
Menn TISS score	32.2e11.5	34.7±12.3	NS
Total ICU stay (days)	799	1786	

ANTIBIOTIC ADMINISRATION AND COSTS PER DAY FOR ALL

ADMISSIONS					
	Cost per	Day (\$)	Percentage	receivin g d	reg
ANTIBIOTIC NAME	HOSPITAL A	HOSPITAL	B HOSPITAL A	HOSPITAL I	p value
Ampicillia	\$0.10	\$0.13	3.5%	6.6%	0.0016
Cefazolin	\$1.06	\$0.99	38.4%	34.4%	NS
Cefotaxime	\$0.00	\$0.39	0.0%	8.7%	
Ceftezidine	\$1.87	\$2.23	3.6%	4.7%	NS
Ceftriaxons	\$7.68	\$3.61	13.1%	0.2%	
Third Gen Cophalosporine	\$9.55	\$6.23	16.7%	13.6%	0.05
Cefoxitis	50.34	\$0.05	2.3%	4.1%	0.02
Cefuroxiene	50.87	\$4.06	5.0%	24.6%	<0.0140
Ciprofloxacia	\$2.86	\$1.80	3.2%	3.0%	NS
Clindamycia	\$1.40	\$1.65	5.6%	8.0%	0.03
Closacilla	\$0.11	\$0.21	2.1%	4.0%	0.01
Erythromycis	\$0.64	\$0.63	5.1%	5.1%	NS
Gentamycin	\$1.30	\$2.10	9.9%	14.1%	0.804
Imipeem	\$9.98	\$3.71	1.6%	4.4%	9.9001
Metronidazole	\$0.46	\$0.46	9.8%	12.0%	NS
Penicillia	\$0.07	\$0.07	1.3%	2.3%	NS
Piperscillin	\$0.00	\$2.12	0.0%	3.8%	9.862
Ticarcillis	\$2.26	\$0.00	6.8%	0.0%	
Vancomycin	24.23	\$2.41	14.7%	9.5%	9.0002
Total cost	\$35.25	\$26.64			

ANTIBIOTIC ADMINISTRATION AND COSTS PER DAY FOR ADMISSIONS DIAGNOSED WITH SEPTIC SHOCK

	Cost p	er Day (\$)	Percentag	e receiving	drug
ANTIBIOTIC NAME	HOSPITAL A	HOSPITAL B	HOSPITAL A	HOSPITAL B	p volue
Ampicillia	\$0.10	\$0.30	10.0%	24.1%	NS
Cefezolia	\$0.00	\$0.14	0.0%	4.6%	
Cefotatime	\$0.00	\$5.02	0.0%	37.9%	
Ceftazidime	\$6.47	\$4.35	26.7%	21.8%	NS
Ceftrinaces	\$1.28	\$0.00	16.7%	0.0%	
All Third Gen Cephalosperin	\$7.75	\$9,37	43.3%	39.8%	NS
Cefoxitia	\$0.00	\$0.53	0.0%	3.4%	
Cefuronisme	\$0.00	\$2.04	0.0%	17.2%	
СіргоПолясія	\$7.77	\$3.77	13.3%	14.9%	NS
Clindaraycia	\$1.68	\$1.71	20.0%	16.1%	NS
Clouddille	\$0.12	\$0.09	3.3%	3.4%	165
Erythromycia	\$0.24	\$0.91	6.7%	13.8%	NS
Gentamycin	\$3.06	\$4.03	46,7%	40.2%	NS
Imipeoem	\$19.48	\$13.10	40.0%	29.9%	NS
Metronidazole	\$1.18	\$1.12	26.7%	44.8%	NS
Penicillis	\$0.28	\$0.07	3.3%	3.4%	NS
Piperscillin	\$0.00	\$2.65	0.0%	11.5%	0.007
Ticarcillia	\$6.07	\$0.00	23.3%	0.0%	0.007
Vanconycin	\$9.35	24.31	46.7%	31.0%	NS
Total cost	\$57.07	\$44.14			

ANTIBIOTIC ADMINISTRATION AND COSTS PER DAY FOR ADMISSIONS

	Cost per	Day (\$)	Percentage	receiving	drug
ANTIBIOTIC NAME	HOSPITAL A	HOSPITAL B	HOSPITAL A	HOSPITAL	B p value
Ampicilia	\$0.00	\$0.16	0.0%	6.0%	
Cefazolia	\$1.23	\$1.40	37.9%	40.6%	NS
Cefotasime	\$0.00	\$3.13	0.0%	9.2%	
Celtazidime	\$1.31	\$3.08	3.4%	9.2%	NS
Caftriaxone	\$5.01	\$0.23	10.3%	0.6%	NS
All Third Gen Cephalosporina	\$6.32	\$6.44	13.8%	19.0%	NS
Cefoxitin	\$0.40	\$0.33	3.4%	7.4%	NS
Cefuroxime	\$0.82	\$4.40	6.9%	34.4%	4.502
Ciprofloracia	\$0.00	\$1.84	0.0%	3.1%	
Clindamycin	\$2.35	\$1.21	10.3%	9.2%	NS
Closacillin	\$0.08	\$0.23	3.4%	6.1%	NS
Erythromycia	\$0.06	\$0.02	6.5%	0.6%	9.661
Gentamycin	\$0.62	\$3.57	13.8%	25.2%	N5
Imipenem	\$8.80	\$3.22	6.9%	3.7%	NS
Memonidazola	\$0.08	\$0.48	6.9%	14.7%	NS
Penicillin	\$0.01	\$0.03	3.4%	2.5%	NS
Piperacillia	\$0.00	\$2.96	0.0%	4.9%	NS
Ticarrillia	\$2.93	\$0.00	6.9%	0.0%	lac)
Vancomycia	\$2.03	13.25	13.8%	10.4%	NS
Total cost	\$25.74	129.54			

ANTIBIOTIC ADMINISTRATION AND COSTS PER DAY FOR ADMISSIONS DIAGNOSED WITH PNEUMONIA

	Cost pe	r Day (\$)	Percentage	receiving d	rug
ANTIBIOTIC NAME	HOSPITAL A	HOSPITAL B	HOSPITAL A	HOSPITAL E	i pvalse
Ampicillia	\$0.21	\$0.14	13.5%	12.2%	NS
Cefazolis	\$0.26	\$0.24	12.4%	14.3%	NS
Cefotaxime	\$0.00	\$4.05	0.0%	22.2%	
Ceftszidirne	\$3.47	\$2.68	15.7%	143%	NS
Ceftrissone	\$13.03	\$0.00	42.7%	0.0%	
All Third Gen Crohelosporine	\$16.50	\$6.73	58.4%	36.5%	9.0007
Cefositin	\$0.11	\$0.12	2.2%	3.2%	15
Cefurosime	\$0.00	\$5.56	14.6%	56.1%	<0.00001
Ciproflosacin	\$1.55	\$3.07	5.6%	7.9%	NS
Clindamycia	\$2.96	\$2.77	23.6%	22.2%	NS
Cloxacillis	\$0.05	\$0.25	3.4%	6.9%	NS
Erythromycia	\$1.42	\$1.22	24.7%	20.6%	NS
Gentamycin	\$1.63	\$2.45	25.8%	29.6%	NS
Imipenem	\$18.62	\$2.87	31.5%	6.3%	<0.00001
Metronidazole	\$0.41	\$0.49	16.9%	24.3%	NS
Penicillin	\$0.23	\$0.08	5.6%	6.3%	NS
Piperacillin	\$0.00	\$2.26	0.0%	9.5%	NS
Ticarcittin	\$1.60	\$0.00	14.6%	0.0%	
Vancomycin	\$3.89	\$2.48	28.1%	16.4%	0.03

BREAKDOWN OF TOTAL PHARMACY COSTS, AND PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL PHARMACY COSTS OF DIFFERENT DRUG CLASSES AT EACH HOSPITAL

Total Pharmacy costs (\$)	HOSPITAL A	HOSPITAL P 436,555
Total agents entered in database	**	84
ANTIBACTERIAL ANTIBIOTICS	%) 47%	31%
Vacanctive drugs (%)	28%	28 %
Sedativos/Annigesics (%)	5%	14
Neurosuscular blocker (%)	7%	**
Gl prophylaxis/motility (%)	4%	1%
TPN (%)	3%	9%
Other (%)	11%	22%

ore many culculated union the draw list and unit costs for each of louse drug costs varied between institutions.

CONCLUSIONS

*Significant differences in antibiotic use were found between these hospitals in different Canadian cities.

Cost per day for antibiotic adminstration was greater at
Hospital A for all admissions, and for admissions diagnosed
with Pneumonia or Septic shock.

Increased antibiotic costs at Hospital A are related to more use of imipenem. Third generation cephalosporins, and Vancomycin at that centre.

- Third generation cephalosporins (58%), Imipernem (31%), and Vancomycin (25%) were the most frequently used artibiotics for admissions diagnosed with preumonia at Hospital A. At Hospital B there admissions usually received Cefuroxime (56%). Third generation cephalosporins were used in 36%. Vancomycin in 16%, and Imipenem in only 6% of preumonia admissions at Hospital B.

Hospital B had slightly higher antibiotic costs and higher mean APACHE II scores for Trauma admissions. Antibiotic costs for Trauma admissions were lower at both hospitals than costs for Pheumonia or Septic shock.

We speculate that the presence of a pharmacist in 1CU, and restrictive ordering policies for antibiotics in the ICU, night account for the differences in antibiotic use and costs between institutions. Additional data regarding endemic micro-organisms and sensitivities is needed to confirm this.